

Consultation response summary report on the draft Magnox Socio-economic Plan 2016-19



Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Key themes from the consultation	3
2.1. Prioritisation of sites	3
2.2. Views outside of the socio-economic scope	4
3. Consultation responses.....	5

1. Introduction

Our aim is to deliver the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA) mission of safely and securely decommissioning the Magnox sites whilst having due regard for communities affected and working with them in partnership to support the creation of dynamic, competitive and sustainable economies local to our sites.

In support of this, from 12 January to 23 February we undertook a six week consultation on the draft socio-economic plan for the Magnox estate.

This consultation process was an important step in ensuring that our socio-economic strategy and plans supported the priorities of the communities around our sites and their existing initiatives.

All responses were carefully considered and the feedback has been used to inform the Magnox Socio-economic Plan 2016-19, which has now been published and can be accessed via the links below.

[English version](#)

[Welsh version](#)

The following document details the feedback received as part of the consultation period, where permission was given by the respondents for their feedback to be published.

2. Key themes from the consultation

There were a number of recurring themes which appeared in the responses received as part of the consultation. Magnox's responses to these provided below.

2.1. Prioritisation of sites

The three-year rolling socio-economic development plan provides a rationale for prioritisation to ensure that socio-economic interventions are targeted where they can have the greatest impact, deliver the best value for money and are sustainable in the long term. Taking account of the NDA thematic and geographical priorities, the 12 Magnox sites have been prioritised according to the following factors:

- Workforce profile
- Lifecycle transition dates from generation to defueling or from decommissioning to care and maintenance
- Working age population and employment statistics (2014)
- Regional Gross Value Added and Index for Multiple Deprivation statistics (compared to National average) (2013)
- Geographical distance to urban employment
- Results of the Magnox socio-economic scheme and direct NDA funding invested to date
- Development of the opportunities highlighted in the former Magnox socio-economic development plan (2011-15), the former Research Sites

Restoration Limited (RSRL) socio-economic development plan and implementation of existing independent socio-economic impact assessment report recommendations and action plans.

- External factors – inward investment employment opportunities, new nuclear build and adjacent nuclear site lifecycles.
- Prevailing socio-economic conditions in the surrounding area.

These factors have been scored for each site. It is the overall score that determines the prioritisation of the sites rather than one single factor such as areas of high deprivation around a particular site. The prioritisation matrix is included in Appendix 1 of the final Magnox Socio-economic Plan 2016-19

The prioritisation of sites will be regularly reviewed and updated in order to take into account changing factors such as where each site is with respect to its Lifetime Plan. It is important to note however that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the Magnox Socio-Economic Scheme which fits the criteria, then irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.

In respect to the Good Neighbourly Awards from the Magnox socio-economic scheme (applications under £1000), all 12 Magnox Sites receive the same annual allocation of funding, which currently stands at £6,000 per site.

Updates to the prioritisation matrix

The prioritisation matrix in the final Magnox Socio-economic Plan 2016-19 has been updated with the latest information including, but not limited to:

- Site dates updated in line with the new Lifetime Plans and the NDA Business Plan 2016-19, which was published on 1 April 2016
- Staff numbers updated to reflect 1 April 2016 figures
- The latest available economic statics

These updates have resulted in the following:

High priority: Bradwell, Hunterston A, Dungeness A, Trawsfynydd, Wylfa

Medium priority: Chapelcross, Hinkley Point A, Winfrith

Low priority: Berkeley, Harwell, Oldbury, Sizewell A

2.2. Views outside of the socio-economic scope

There were a number of views expressed which focussed more generally on the perception of nuclear and the industry. Some comments focussed on environmental concerns, which are outside the scope of this consultation. Magnox takes environmental considerations very seriously and the organisation is subject to reviews by independent regulators. All Magnox activities are carried out within limits set by the independent regulators.

3. Consultation responses

Name Organisation	Q3 To what extent do you think our proposed approach to providing socio-economic support is appropriate?	Magnox response
Paul Dundee Magnox	Hi/Me/Lo Prioritising sites is correct	Noted.
Penny Wride Berkeley SSG	Any funding to improving the employment opportunities such as in Traws, Dungeness, & Chapelcross has been well thought out & appears to be improving employment opportunities.	Noted.
Russell Everard Bradwell Legacy Partnership	The Partnership believes that Magnox has an important role to play in supporting communities and working with partner agencies and local authorities to develop strategies and plans to help mitigate the impact of decommissioning.	Magnox is committed to working with local agencies wherever possible to ensure that any support is fully aligned with local stakeholder needs.
Joan Girling Sizewell SSG co-opted	I would be much more content if the socio-economic support was discussed and considered by and for Leiston-cum-Sizewell people including the Town council. I have no comment on other Sites	The Magnox Socio-economic Scheme is open for any eligible applicant in the communities around our sites to apply, this includes Leiston-cum-Sizewell. Applications can be submitted via our website and successful applications are communicated to all Site Stakeholder Groups (SSGs) across Magnox.
Richard Wilson, Purbeck District Council	The proposed approach to providing socio economic support is considered by members and officers at Purbeck District Council to be highly desirable.	Noted.
Alasdair Laurenson North Ayrshire Council	Appropriate response however it is suggested that some amendments are made to the prioritisation matrix, and clarity provided on how funding is distributed between high-medium-low sites.	Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document. It is important to note that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the Magnox Socio-economic Scheme, which fits the criteria then

		irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.
<p>Dylan J Williams Isle of Anglesey County Council</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree that proposed approach to providing socio economic support is appropriate, and aligns closely with the NDA Socio-economic policy objectives. 2. We recognise and appreciate the approach undertaken to date to minimise job losses and ensure available capacity and capability is fully utilised at Wylfa, Trawsfynydd, or other nuclear sites. 3. However, as electricity generation only recently ceased at Wylfa (December 2015), we are unclear on the potential impact of the proposed approach. 4. There has been significant collaboration between the County Council and the site management company over recent years (primarily through the Energy Island Programme) to progress our shared socio-economic ambitions. It is of utmost importance that this continues, and is further enhanced (with other stakeholder organisations) to create new local opportunities. 5. Welcome and embrace commitment to support local and regional socio-economic development agencies through a complementary plan – essential to ensure alignment and additionality. 6. Welcome the ambition to learn from experiences 	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>across different sites.</p> <p>7. Maximising the capacity of local supply chain to bid for work from the MagnaX sites is essential if economic multipliers are to be maximised – critical that this activity continues in future.</p> <p>8. Emphasis on engagement with educational establishments to support curricular development and raise aspirations is welcomed.</p> <p>9. Given potential scenario of decommissioning and nuclear new build happening concurrently on adjacent sites, increased emphasis on labour / skills redeployment would be welcomed.</p> <p>10. We do not agree or support the proposal that Wylfa is not recognised as a high-priority site on the basis of :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant job losses will be seen during the transition from generation to defueling. • Geographic distance from urban employment and markets will make it very difficult for employees facing redundancy to find alternative employment; with the local supply chain also finding it difficult to tap into other markets (which will lead to further job losses within the local diaspora). • Although the inward investment potential seems positive for Anglesey and North West Wales, there is likely to be a 10 year lead in period prior to Wylfa Newydd becoming operational. 	<p>We have provided an explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites on page 3 of this document. We acknowledge that there will be differing views about some of the criteria and their scoring and weighting, but we have tried, as far as possible, to ensure that our approach provides support which is appropriate to the needs of each community.</p> <p>The socio-economic plan takes into account the changes in sites lifecycles in the prioritisation of sites and interventions. This includes workforce numbers, timescale to C&M and proximity to cities amongst others. This prioritisation is annually reviewed and updated in order to take into account</p>
--	---	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anglesey’s fragile economy is on par with the worst performing economies across the EU. • Wylfa and Trawsfynydd are based in the same diaspora and are already working closely together as part of the Magnox Ministerial Taskforce – it would seem reasonable that both sites were given equal status in the Plans to ensure interventions could be developed in tandem to address the issues surrounding both sites. • Language and culture an important aspect of the Anglesey fabric – important that every effort is made to retain people within their communities. 	<p>where each site is with respect to its Life Time Plan.</p>
<p>Rita Holmes, Hunterston SSG Chairwoman</p>	<p>The approach to the Plan is good ... from the top down....NDA PBO - SLC - to local sites to ensure consistency. The strategic tool supports very well the targeted use of funds for socio economic objectives.</p> <p>Our area has benefited in the past from using this approach and adhering to the NDA thematic principles and geographical funding priorities. The area is designated medium priority and the consultation document as well as meetings to discuss priority ratings have allowed us to understand why. The Group, however, does wish to point out the very high unemployment rate in North Ayrshire (9.1%) almost double compared to the other areas although we do understand that your job is not to lead regeneration of the area, but alleviate effects from decommissioning of Hunterston A.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>The funding to date to the Field Study Centre Millport, £300000 , the NDA award of £250000 for youth unemployment , were the 2 priority projects out of 25 projects put forward by NAC and fully promoted and supported by the voting members of the SSG. The Ardrossan Quay Offices development funding was also welcomed.</p> <p>It has been appreciated , that in the past , recommendations from SSG at local community level have been considered positively with regard to complementing local and national plans without pursuing projects which would impinge on natural heritage.</p> <p>The Group believes genuine effort has been made to include key players in ongoing consultation and stakeholder engagement. With regard to Hunterston /North-Ayrshire area the SSG wishes NDA and Magnox to build on its 2012 plan to support and to involve SSG in the NA H. Regeneration Steering Group. To date only one such meeting has involved SSG chair and vice chair. With the proposed 5 new Locality Planning Groups within NA , the North Coast and Cumbraes Locality Planning Group with Hunterston in its area will have Community Council representatives as well as NA councillors as members . This could, if allowed, provide a valuable group of stakeholders who could input into socio economic projects.</p> <p>The Group appreciates the £1 million (annually over the</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>estate) will be shared with the other sites and divided into three categories of :- being a good neighbour, (less than £1000) helping build sustainable communities (less than £10000) and supporting transformational projects that mitigate the impact of decommissioning (in excess of £10000) . In general this is good and we hope that the proposal to look at site status 12 months before it goes into Care and Maintenance is a long enough period especially for transformational projects to be promoted and achieve appropriate funding.</p> <p>The Group endorses this Draft socio economic plan.</p>	
<p>George Smythe, Dungeness SSG Chairman</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fully support the Magnox Socio-Economic Plan for Dungeness but would like to see inserted Romney Resource Centre included in the achievements. They have received considerable Magnox funding in the past and are a driving factor in the effort to train and support new business in the area and also support the SMP. - The Romney Resource Centre provides a core centre for start-up businesses, school leavers' computer studies and apprentices day working skills as part of their learning cycle. They are the guardians of the New Romney Business Incubator Units that has proved to be highly successful. These are but a few of the services, courses in support of the local community. 	<p>Noted.</p>

<p>Suffolk Coastal & Waveney District Councils – collective response</p>	<p>Suffolk Coastal and Waveney District Councils welcome the opportunity to comment on the draft Magnox socio-economic plan. We are pleased to see that your aim is “to deliver the NDA mission of safely and securely decommissioning the Magnox sites, whilst having due regard for communities affected and working with them in partnership to support the creation of dynamic, competitive and sustainable economies local to our sites”.</p> <p>However, given the caveats stated (that your strategies and initiatives are still being developed due to the ongoing consolidation and also that your plans may be affected by the Government’s spending review) we are not convinced that it is a timely consultation. The announcement of the spending review was last November so we do have to question how long you need to assess the impact. We believe that conducting the consultation in advance of the completion of the consolidation process or the assessment of the Spending Review means that we are not responding to Magnox’s final proposals.</p> <p>You say that CFP and Magnox recognise their important role in supporting partner agencies to develop plans and deliver initiatives to help mitigate the impact of decommissioning the sites but we have to say we see little evidence of any practical action around the decommissioning of Sizewell A. There appear to be limited opportunities for redeployment to EDF’s B station</p>	<p>The consultation on the Draft Magnox Socio-economic Plan commenced in January 2016 to align with the NDA’s consultation on their draft strategy and business plan. Commencing the consultation at this time also meant that Magnox could introduce delivery of the final socio-economic plan for the start of the new financial year.</p> <p>Access to the socio-economic scheme is publically available via our website. We also publish an annual summary of spend by site and provide updates on applications approved to the SSGs. We are able to offer advice and guidance to applications but we cannot lead local regeneration. We rely on stakeholders with knowledge of their local area</p>
--	--	--

	<p>let alone the proposed C station.</p> <p>You say that you are committed to “prepare and deliver a three-year rolling socio-economic development plan” but the current one is for 2011-2015 and we are now in 2016. In the 2011-15 plan, the workforce was estimated for 2015/16 to be over 300, reducing to 280 in 2016/17 but we recently learned that a reduction in total staff to 183 would imminently be made, and in Appendix 1 – Prioritisation matrix, you yourselves use the figure 191. A true rolling plan should be updated as the situation changes.</p>	<p>submitting applications for projects which will benefit the community. We need stakeholders in the area and those responsible for regeneration to work with us.</p> <p>Recently, we approved an application for Suffolk Coast Business to provide funding towards their objective to support the local business communities of Leiston, Aldeburgh, Framlingham and Saxmundham areas through a series of business networking events and training workshops. These will take place over several months in 2016.</p> <p>Magnox is only responsible for the Sizewell A site, but we have a managed transfer protocol in place with EDF who own the B site to aid the transition of staff between sites where appropriate. Naturally the delivery of this depends on the requirements of B site.</p> <p>The introduction of a three-year rolling plan forms part of the new Magnox contract, which wasn't in place prior to 2014.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>We were surprised by the statement (page 5) that between 30th September and 16th November Magnox was 'engaging with key stakeholders to seek views and feedback', with Local authorities included in the list of stakeholders. As far as we know the draft Plan was not published at this time and we were not approached to discuss it over that period. We are now simply responding to a public consultation that we were alerted to by the Site Stakeholder Group. We were not informed of the delay in publication until we chased it up. We would be interested to know if you did engage with Suffolk Local Authorities.</p>	<p>We were intending to commence consultation on the draft plan in September 2015, but the decision was made to postpone this until after the Government spending review and in order to align with the NDA's consultation timescales on their draft strategy and business plan. The consultation commenced in January 2016. There wasn't a pre consultation, just informal engagement on the proposed key themes.</p> <p>The Chief Executive Officer of Suffolk County Council, the Strategic Director and the Head of Regeneration and Economic Development of Suffolk Coastal District Council and Economic Development & Regeneration at Waveney District Council were contacted about the consultation.</p>
<p>Dr Katharine Harvey, Shepway District Council</p>	<p>We welcome Magnox's recognition of the important role that they play in supporting the local community to develop plans and initiatives to help mitigate the impact of decommissioning nuclear power stations on the local economy. We agree with Magnox's proposed approach to providing socio-economic support and this reflects well our joint working with Magnox and the NDA through the Romney Marsh Partnership which is helping to deliver elements of the Shepway Economic Development Strategy for the Romney Marsh area.</p> <p>We support the use of the prioritisation of intervention approach to allocating priorities amongst Magnox's 12 sites and the intention to focus interventions on areas</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>where they can have the greatest impact, deliver best value for money and offer long term sustainability. This fits well with the NDA draft Strategy 3 proposals to move away from the four geographical priority areas previously identified and towards a more flexible, locally tailored approach. We support the choice of factors that have been used to prioritise sites and we are pleased to see that Dungeness is one of the highest priority sites for Magnox support</p>	
Tollesbury Parish Council	<p>The plan is focused on the Dengie as opposed to including the north shore communities. Tollesbury is much closer to the site than most on the Dengie.</p>	<p>Tollesbury is included in the travel to work area for Bradwell and is therefore eligible to apply for support through the Magnox Socio-economic Scheme, providing that the application meets the criteria which applies to all applications. Tollesbury Parish Council has a nominated representative on the LCLC who can raise any issues, concerns or discuss suggestions for applications. Alternatively, you can contact your Magnox socio-economic representative directly via the website www.magnoxsocioeconomic.com to discuss specific concerns or raise them with your Maldon District Council representative.</p>
Sizewell SSG	<p>We believe that the local representative (Chair of SSG) should be involved in all applications to socio economic funding at an earlier stage (to assess promising bids) and to be made aware of and review bids that were unsuccessful in meeting the remit - to understand why bids were considered unsuitable and provide local knowledge to enable unsuccessful applicants to be</p>	<p>The Chair is a member of the site socio-economic panel, which is the first point at which an application into the scheme is reviewed. All final and approved outcomes are fed back to the site panel. The site panel forms a key part of the process and provides valuable local insight into the applications which are submitted and the potential benefits.</p>

	<p>advised of alternative/more suitable local funding.</p> <p>Aligning this funding to other locally available schemes, like those available from EDF Energy, was important.</p> <p>The section about stakeholder engagement (p5) does not include the public or Non-Government Organisations (NGO's). A comprehensive stakeholder engagement plan should be inclusive and extensive. Figure 1 (p6) which describes the timeline for stakeholder engagement and consultation – we believe that 3 months was the standard period for consultation not the 6 weeks allocated. (We understand that the consultation period had been truncated to enable the autumn Government spending review outcomes to inform the NDA Strategy which in turn informs the socio-economic plan and to have both plans in place for the next fiscal year – but feel the period of time has been very short).</p> <p>Section 4.1 (p6) we were disappointed that the strategy did not consider mitigation of factors like noise, traffic and other amenity values, and believe that employment should also be a consideration.</p> <p>At Sizewell we feel that a local socio-economic development plan could support training and development of locally needed skills. And that we would need resources to develop this. Matched funding could be sourced from all the local power generating firms, not just Magnox. There seems to be a misconception</p>	<p>The stakeholder engagement list has been updated to include non-government organisations.</p> <p>Magnox encourages applicants to seek match funding from other organisations and this is taken into account when applications are reviewed.</p>
--	---	--

	<p>locally that funding for training and skills development would be associated with new build and not decommissioning.</p> <p>We are concerned that Sizewell is still considered a low priority. In reviewing the table in appendix 1 (p34/5) which describes the prioritisation matrix, it was noted that new build was a factor despite the understanding that was still a proposal and not a definite for Sizewell. Members noted that new build may still happen at Bradwell, yet this was not considered within the matrix. Members would like confirmation of whether the new build generating date should be included or not (when it is uncertain/not confirmed).</p> <p>Section 4.2 (p7) regarding prioritisation of interventions, Members felt that whilst there were not wards of deprivation locally there were pockets of deprivation that were masked by areas of affluence.</p> <p>The disturbance to the community caused by decommissioning, the lack of local infrastructure to support the increased traffic and the extension of the date to return the site to a final end state were all factors that should influence prioritisation.</p> <p>The proximity of Sizewell A to the AONB and the impact</p>	<p>Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.</p> <p>It is important to note that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the Magnox Socio-economic Scheme, which fits the criteria then irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.</p> <p>The prioritisation matrix (Appendix 1 of the Magnox Socio-economic Plan) uses the multiple index of deprivation and is just one aspect which is considered. Many of the areas surrounding our sites have pockets of deprivation which is why a range of factors are taken into account through the matrix.</p> <p>Magnox's decommissioning plans review and consider any environmental impacts. These are discussed with the local councils and stakeholders as part of the planning application process.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>of decommissioning on associated tourism should be another factor to be considered.</p> <p>Where the entry date into C&M was included, it seems an early date positively influences prioritisation. Members believe that actually a later date into C&M should be an increased factor/weighting as it means a later return to a 'green field site' or a preferred end state or final site clearance. This also represents a longer period of time for the community to be disadvantaged, so should be prioritised.</p> <p>Members were concerned that socio economic funding may be needed to promote skills to demolish the site well into the future after C&M.</p> <p>With reference to the bullet point regarding lifecycle transition dates (Section 4.2) we feel that this should read "Lifecycle transition dates from generation to defueling <i>and</i> from decommissioning to care and maintenance <i>and from care and maintenance to final site clearance.</i>"</p> <p>Who would the long term owner of the Magnox sites would be after the fleet were placed in C&M and who will look after socio economics during this phase and after C&M?</p> <p>What the 'NDA priority area' referred to. It was agreed</p>	<p>For the site which will be closing the near future, it is appropriate that they are provided higher priority because they require more support in the short term. The prioritisation of sites is regularly reviewed in order to take account of a site's proximity to closure.</p> <p>This is a question for the NDA and Government. Prior to a site closing, Magnox and the NDA will engage with stakeholders to understand and agree what is appropriate with regards to communications and socio-economic support.</p> <p>The NDA's Socio-economic Policy identifies priority</p>
--	--	---

	<p>to ask for an explanation in the feedback. Under the 'external factors' bullet point the fact that new build was not certain was agreed. Other terms were debated and it was agreed to ask for a definition of 'surrounding area' and what statistics were considered under 'prevailing socio-economic conditions'. RR advised that at Bradwell the District Council had commissioned a socio-economic study, paid for by the Magnox socio-economic scheme, that had investigated socio-economic conditions and had identified key risk factors for the local area, like insufficient local care for the elderly.</p> <p>Section 4.3 there needs to be more transparency about the evaluation of bids.</p> <p>We would like to be told the total annual value of the scheme and what happens to unspent monies. We understand circa £7million is available across the entire fleet with unspent monies returning to the total pot?</p> <p>Section 4.4 where socio-economic objectives were detailed in table 1. Regarding the objective to support opportunities to divest land for socio-economic benefit (p12) at Sizewell we are unlikely to benefit from this as any available land has been bought by Sizewell B. Members discussed the District Survey Lab and expressed the hope that this would be returned to community use once EDF Energy have finished with this facility. And we questioned why the community were not</p>	<p>areas and the Magnox Socio-economic Plan takes these into account.</p> <p>There is a robust and transparent process for reviewing applications. We value the feedback of those in the local community which is why the site panel, which the SSG Chair is present on, sees every application.</p> <p>The annual value of the scheme and spend by site is published on an annual basis. This information is made available to the SSGs and on the Magnox website. This financial year the socio-economic budget was spent.</p> <p>We publish annually a two page summary of the socio-economic spend and how it has been allocated by site. As part of the Magnox socio-economic fund up to £1million is made available each financial year.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>consulted prior to Magna handing this facility to EDF?</p> <p>Regarding CFP objective to work with local education establishments to support the curriculum and raise aspirations; the Magna objective details only STEM related work. We believe this should be broader (we understand this may now include Arts)? We commented that the targets were too global for the local community to benefit.</p> <p>At Sizewell the removal of the cooling water rigs require guidance from an environmental perspective – and this type of infrastructure should be included in socio-economic aims. This was an example of where proper planning was required to ensure that decommissioning of the site was undertaken sensitively to minimise the impact on the environment. Similarly transport issues need to be addressed. These were examples of where training beyond STEM related matters would be useful to the decommissioning process.</p> <p>Regarding the objectives detailed on p13 we questioned the definition of the ‘travel to work area’. It appears that</p>	<p>The identification of STEM support is in line with national STEM agenda but does not exclude other area. The socio-economic scheme considers and support skills in general, not just those that are STEM. Within the scheme we’ve supported a number of education projects across a range of ages and topics. The targets also consider other areas including CV development, coaching and retraining to help raise aspirations.</p> <p>The Environmental Impact Assessment for Decommissioning Reactors for Sizewell A considers this as part of their assessment. This was consulted upon before consent to decommission was given. Consideration was given to such areas as ecology, geology, hydrogeology and soils, surface waters and traffic and transport. Part of the conditions imposed to continue decommissioning is to produce an Annual Environmental Management Plan that looks at the project undertaken in the previous year and assesses the effectiveness of the mitigations used as well as considering forthcoming projects.</p> <p>The ‘travel to work area term’ is a commonly used term, adopted by the NDA and other stakeholders to</p>
--	--	--

	<p>the SMART targets detailed are not applicable to the low priority sites. We also would like to question who determines the value of the performance measures and who oversees and monitors these?</p> <p>The following comments are regarding to individual site socio-economic objectives and in particular to p32 detailing Sizewell A.</p> <p>We guessed that there were no stakeholders listed because no projects over £10,000 have been awarded?</p> <p>We would appreciate more details as to how the £30,042 spend during 2012-15 was allocated. Although the SSG receives some comments in the Station Directors report we feel that the strategy should document this in summary</p> <p>We would like to know what the phrase ‘discussions are</p>	<p>identify the area and communities around the sites. The targets are developed by Magnox and the NDA and consulted upon with stakeholders. Performance against these will be reviewed by the NDA and made available to stakeholders.</p> <p>The consultation period was for stakeholders to provide feedback on the proposed targets. These targets were developed in conjunction with the NDA and in alignment with the areas identified in the Magnox contract.</p> <p>For clarity, no applications over £10K were received for the Sizewell area.</p> <p>This information has been updated in the final version of the plan to include the projects supported for 2015/16, now that the 2015/16 financial year has closed. The total Magnox Socio-economic support for Sizewell between 2012-16 is £39,971. Moving forward we will also be providing quarterly reports to the SSG Chairs which will list the projects supported.</p> <p>The work referred to around projects which support</p>
--	---	---

	<p>on-going around larger projects that support young people in making the transition from school to work' referred to.</p> <p>Although some low priority sites had been successful in bidding for £10,000+ value projects, what we believe is missing from this strategy was help from Magnox to assist successful applications to be submitted.</p> <p>Section 4.6 regarding C&M we strongly believe that funding should go beyond C&M and until a green field /agreed end state is re-established. And that the proposed engagement with stakeholders (third bullet point) should be more than the '12 months prior to the date of C&M' specified.</p> <p>Section 4.7 regarding monitoring and reporting – we would suggest that stakeholders should be involved in evaluating the progress against targets and objectives to ensure independent oversight.</p>	<p>young people transition from school to work is still in its infancy and therefore it would not be appropriate to discuss this at this stage. Magnox is working with the applicant to develop the project and it will come before the local panel in due course. It was to demonstrate/give assurance that there are bigger projects coming forward for Sizewell. If a suitable large scale project was put forward then this would not be discounted out of hand if the project was timely and had significant benefits within the Magnox criteria. This reference will be removed from the plan to avoid confusion.</p> <p>For any projects identified and submitted to the scheme, we assign a dedicated socio-economic lead to work with the applicant to provide appropriate guidance and support.</p> <p>There will be open reporting on the performance. Performance against the targets and objectives will be reviewed by the NDA and the results will be made available to stakeholders.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>Appendix 2 (p36 onwards) which details the contract and after consideration – we agreed that the SSG were not able to influence the wording contained therein so it would not be appropriate to comment.</p>	
<p>Brian Main, Bradwell LCLC</p>	<p>I believe that the approach is appropriate, in particular MagnaX' involvement with the Bradwell Legacy Partnership</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Nia Swann Bowden High Value Jobs Scheme and Snowdonia Enterprise Zone Manager Gwynedd Council</p>	<p>We broadly agree with your proposed method of providing socio-economic support as laid out in your draft proposal. Your thematic principles align with our strategic principles as a Local Authority of developing the economy, notably the emphasis on creating <u>higher value</u> employment and supporting the wider rural economy to expand, ensuring that residents have advanced skills which can be transferred to other sectors, also the need to support the wider supply chain (including smaller companies) to make the most of the opportunities whilst decommissioning continues, and to transfer that capacity to new sectors when the work comes to an end.</p> <p>We continue to appreciate that Trawsfynydd Site has been designated a priority site for socio-economic support. The statistics in your strategy proves that the economic situation in Dwyfor-Meirionnydd is very fragile even with the continued employment on the site, it is vitally important that there needs to be investment and interventions in diverse projects today in order to give every opportunity for the economy to support its</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>communities at the end of the working life of the station.</p> <p>For information, more recent statistics have been released by the Welsh Government at the beginning of February 2015 on the socio-economic situation in Dwyfor-Meirionnydd:</p> <p>http://gov.wales/statistics-and-research/data-national-assembly-wales-constituency-areas/?lang=en</p> <p>We also agree with your socio-economic commitments, noting your duty to support the NDA's Strategy (we have already responded to their consultation). Specifically, we greatly welcome your commitment to work with local partnerships and other economic development bodies. You are conscious that Magnox is an integral partner in the work to develop the Trawsfynydd Site for the future, as it has been designated as the Welsh Government's Snowdonia Enterprise Zone, and the acknowledgement of the importance of working in partnership with local organisations and bodies is welcomed. We also welcome the commitment to keeping higher skills in the locality – an element that will be critical not only from the individual's perspective but also in looking to draw new investment in the future. Your focus to re-use the land is also of particular importance in terms of Trawsfynydd Site. As the draft strategy notes, there is further emphasis to attract Small Modular Reactors (SMR) to the site, and the release of land as soon as possible is crucial in moving this agenda forward.</p>	
--	--	--

Name Organisation	Q4 Please provide any feedback you may have on the key areas of the draft Magnox socio-economic strategy, particular areas where we are focusing our attention.	Magnox response
Paul Dundee, Magnox	Builds upon existing stakeholder relationships and socio-economic initiatives	Noted.
Russell Everard Bradwell Legacy Partnership	The Partnership supports all key areas outlined in the draft strategy. In particular, the Partnership is keen to support diversification and the creation of new job opportunities. The Partnership also sees the development of stakeholder relationships as key to successful delivery of projects	Noted.
Joan Girling Sizewell SSG co-opted	Sizewell is low down on the priority list. However ensuring re-training and up-skilling for redundant workers must be a priority.	<p>Magnox has a number of initiatives in place to support the transition of our workforce. These include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reskilling and redeployment. Where appropriate and possible, we look at opportunities to reskill and retrain staff members to enable them to take up other roles at other Magnox sites, we actively seek out opportunities for staff to reskill into new roles and/or redeploy to roles at other Magnox sites. • Parent redeployment offer. We are also looking at providing opportunities in the parent body organisations (Cavendish Nuclear and Fluor businesses) to re-deploy Magnox staff into if they wish. Where opportunities arise at the parent organisation's sites or customer sites, applications from staff at nearby Magnox sites is encouraged.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outplacement support. This support is facilitated by a specialist third party, Penna, to all staff that are being transitioned. The support includes advice and guidance in a range of areas including CV writing and interview coaching. • Training allowance. Every staff member being transitioned has access to £1,500 which can be used for courses to enable them to re-train, re-skill or support their development in preparation for a new role or career. • Managed transfers. Magna has in place protocols with other organisations including EDF, Jacobs and Amec Foster Wheeler to support the sharing and transition of staff between organisations when opportunities arise.
Tracey Jones, BANNG	This is irrelevant if you are not honest about mistakes and potential risks	Magna has never sought to disguise the potential impacts of its activities, which are subject to regulation by a number of independent bodies.
Richard Wilson, Purbeck District Council	A holistic approach is considered highly desirable it could profitably be widened to include the implications for sustainable communities linking employment investment with providing opportunities for additional housing development locally. The economic strategy should also focus on promoting high quality jobs as a means of improving housing affordability in areas suffering from high house prices compared to local wage levels. Value for money considerations justify looking at opportunities that exist for investing in future employment opportunities linked to for example identified Enterprise Zones, existence of growth ready companies and level of demand for	Noted.

	quality employment space.	
Alasdair Laurenson North Ayrshire Council	Support this overall strategy, although greater emphasis should be placed on areas of disadvantage.	Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.
Dylan J Williams Isle of Anglesey County Council	<p>Employing a holistic approach that enriches learning from experience across the sites yet still recognises individual site requirements – agree on sharing learning / good practice, however, retaining a bespoke approach to individual sites is imperative if the plan is to prove successful.</p> <p>Building upon existing stakeholder relationships and socio-economic initiatives - Imperative that there is synergy between socio-economic initiatives if added value is to be achieved. The approach to economic development programmes (EU, National, and Regional level) demand local alignment and integration of activity. Failure would result in duplication and diminished benefits.</p> <p>Enhancing economic diversification and opening up new employment opportunities - as the Magnox estate is entering defueling, decommissioning or maintenance (i.e. end of economic life), economic diversification should take increasing prominence within the socio-economic plan.</p> <p>Leveraging the parent organisations' experience in education and training to</p>	Noted.

	<p>enhance the potential opportunities for people in the supply chain – no comment.</p> <p>Developing opportunities for workforce redeployment into Cavendish Nuclear, Babcock International Group and Fluor at the appropriate time in the Magnox programme – redeployment to other nuclear industry companies should also be recognised, especially within the context of nuclear new build adjacent to Wylfa.</p> <p>Achieving value for money through targeted use of funds for socio-economic initiatives that offer the biggest impact for the lowest cost at prioritised sites – agree with this ambition, which has been illustrated across Anglesey and NW Wales over recent years.</p>	
<p>Dr Katharine Harvey, Shepway District Council</p>	<p>We agree with all these key areas and are particularly pleased with the working relationship we have developed with Magnox and the NDA through the Romney Marsh Partnership and look forward to that continuing in the future.</p> <p>With regards to workforce redeployment, we understand the need for Cavendish Fluor and Babcock to retain skilled staff in the nuclear sector as a whole. However, we are also keen that as many skilled staff as possible remain in the locality, as these workers are important for attracting new types of industry to the area to help diversify and grow the local economy.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>We will continue to work with Magnox to ensure that support and advice is provided to those looking for alternative opportunities in the local economy, such as to start-up new businesses. To this end we warmly welcome the plans to consider “Skills retention in localities/skills transfer from each Magnox Site to local communities”, and the activities set out in Table 1 to “Work with appointed career transition specialists ‘Penna,’ to explore opportunities to encourage the identification of new or spin off business”.</p>	
Tollesbury Parish Council	<p>It is felt the Blackwater provides a barrier for meaningful communication.</p> <p>Tollesbury experiences a disadvantage of having a nuclear power station, it has an adverse effect on the environment and also a significant visual impact.</p>	<p>Tollesbury has a Parish Council representative on the LCLC which meets regularly to address any issues of concern and feedback on these issues. In addition annual community meetings/drop ins are held on the island and are open to all members of the public and interested parties. We would welcome an application from Tollesbury or other local groups to the to the Magnox Socio-economic Scheme. Please visit www.magnoxsocioeconomic.com</p>
Brian Main, Bradwell LCLC	All seem appropriate	Noted.
George Smythe, Dunegeness SSG Chairman	<p>a. I am not sure if the word Holistic is suitable as it gives the impression of a Biblical Tone/Medical approach. All-encompassing review of the complete system or sharing good practices, good planning templates.</p> <p>b. Essential to retain the knowledge and experience of current SSG members who have gained considerable local background</p>	Noted

	<p>relationships with many interested parties.</p> <p>c. The open offer of further future employment within Cavendish has been well advertised. The downside is that many people do not wish to relocate and therefore employment opportunists must be genuine and not seen as a way of shedding employees.</p> <p>d. Achieving value for money is essential but in some cases it should not restrict enterprise as there must be certain amount of 'Nothing ventured, Nothing Gained' built into the system.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives have not changed a great deal from current regulations in place and therefore currently have no difficulties in the proposals as they stand. - The concern is whether you change without full consultation and openness. There have been a number of statements which have indicated that certain projects could deferred, changed or belayed due to financial or time related factors. 	
<p>Nia Swann Bowden High Value Jobs Scheme and Snowdonia Enterprise Zone Manager Gwynedd Council</p>	<p>Again we broadly agree with these fields, and welcome the recognition that every site has different needs and contexts, and therefore demand different methods in managing socio-economics.</p> <p>As previously noted, the work to develop Trawsfynydd Site has been established with a clear strategy through a partnership of local</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>agencies and bodies, including Magnox; therefore the commitment to build on the work of the partnerships and similar projects is welcomed rather than working alone, and look to put the regional strategy into our efforts.</p> <p>The commitment to create new employment opportunities dovetails well with the vision of attracting alternative nuclear technology to the site; we will continue to work with Magnox and the NDA to put practical steps in place to work towards this position.</p> <p>See the next section for a full explanation of the situation regarding culture and employment locally, and our stance on redeploying the workforce to CFP companies.</p> <p>We totally support the principle of using Magnox socioeconomic funding to target strategic interventions which will have significant impacts especially within broader packages of work. You will be aware of the taskforce established by Edwina Hart, Minister for the Economy, Science and Transport which includes the local authorities of Gwynedd and Anglesey, Magnox, NDA and the Welsh Government to recognise the priority interventions across the region to ensure that applications to funding, contribute strategically to an integrated package targeting firm outputs.</p>	<p>Magnox is an active member of the Magnox Task Force, which has been set up by Welsh Government to ensure that the highly skilled workforce is afforded support to maintain sustainable and long term employment in Wales</p>
--	---	---

Name Organisation	Q5 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed objectives and targets outlined in Table 1 of the draft the socio-economic plan? Please give your reasons.	Magnox response
Paul Dundee, Magnox	Wylfa/Anglesey could be given higher priority and increased funding due to the considerable investment in local economy before generation ceased and transition/redundancies which occur within six months of each other.	Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.
Penny Wride, Berkeley SSG	By working with the college and SME's the targets maybe achieved.	Noted.
Russell Everard Bradwell Legacy Partnership	The Partnership agrees in principle with the proposed objectives. In particular, help with higher value job creation is important; input with the skills agenda is very much welcomed, along with support for cultural and heritage projects. As mentioned earlier, support with diversification is also of interest to this District.	Noted.
Joan Girling Sizewell SSG co-opted	Broadly agree, but with more input from very local people	Noted.
Tracey Jones, BANNG	You have not considered the local community or environment on your plans from day 1.	<p>Magnox takes environmental considerations very seriously and the organisation is subject to reviews by independent regulators. All Magnox activities are carried out within limits set by the independent regulators.</p> <p>We proactively engage with stakeholders on our plans as</p>

		they develop and regularly provide updates on progress at the Bradwell Local Community Liaison Committee. We are also involved in a number of other stakeholder groups including the Bradwell Legacy Partnership.
Richard Wilson, Purbeck District Council	These are largely supported suggest adding an additional response/objective to the NDA strategic objective (Ref 1) of exploring opportunities for promoting spin off businesses through consulting with existing businesses on the existing or former licenced sites providing them with an opportunity to guide investment to target supply chain or known business opportunities. NDA thematic objective Ref 2 -- "Developing training opportunities" add MagnaX Objective to work with local skill providers and businesses to address existing and projected skill shortages through developing appropriate training provision locally. Support REF 3 and Ref 4 objectives but resources are largely targeted at high priority sites. Either these should be expanded to include medium priority sites which can evidence value for money, wider social and housing benefits or means of benefiting the wider local and national economy through investing in opportunity and business growth opportunity.	For the site which will be closing the near future, it is appropriate that they are provided higher priority and have additional targets because they require more support in the short term. The prioritisation of sites is regularly reviewed in order to take account of a site's proximity to closure. In some instances new initiatives are trialed at high priority sites with a view to expanding these to cover other sites in the estate.
Alasdair Laurenson North Ayrshire Council	Agree with proposed objectives outlined in Table 1.	Noted

<p>Dylan J Williams Isle of Anglesey County Council</p>	<p>1. Proposed objectives appear to be reasonable and fall in line with the strategy.</p> <p>2. Potential to increase joint working with large scale inward investment projects such as Horizon Nuclear Power to ensure redeployment and supply chain development.</p> <p>3. Optimising the supply chain is an important area with numerous organisations active in this area. There needs to be greater alignment and synergy between our efforts, ensuring that messages are clear and support programmes are accessed by appropriate companies. We would welcome greater prominence, recognition, and commitment in the final Strategy document how the collaboration goes beyond 'government departments', and includes local stakeholder organisations (in terms of site locations) who have similar objectives to develop and enhance supply chains.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Dr Katharine Harvey, Shepway District Council</p>	<p>We agree with Magnox's approach to monitor and assess the impact of the activities set out in the socio-economic plan and recognition that the Romney Marsh Partnership could play a role in supporting and promoting awareness of Magnox's activities and investments around the Dungeness site.</p> <p>We particularly support and would be keen to work with Magnox to help achieve the following</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>proposed actions identified in the Draft Socio-economic Plan.</p> <p>Employment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development of a supply chain development programme for the high priority sites. • Work with appointed career transition specialists Penna to explore opportunities to encourage the identification of new or spin off business. • For staff in transition, work with Penna to develop support and workshops on how to start new businesses • Work with Penna to understand local employers' skills requirements • Investigate whether there is opportunity to work with external business support agencies to provide support to high priority sites • Make staff in transition aware of opportunities for local redeployment, secondment and retraining <p>We welcome the focus on providing local businesses with opportunities to benefit from supply chain opportunities, and agree that this will help stimulate the creation of high value jobs in the Romney Marsh area. Similarly the proposed work</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>with Penna, which is to include exploring opportunities to encourage the identification of new or spin off business, providing support for new business start-ups and understanding local employers' skills requirements, is welcomed and we would like to work together with MagnaX to support this. This is important for ensuring the maximum retention of the skilled workers in the area.</p> <p>Education/skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify what industry apprentice programmes exist in MagnaX communities, including PBO schemes • Establish relationships with the relevant skills bodies and identify the support MagnaX can provide to external apprentice programmes <p>We support these proposed activities which accord well with the current work being undertaken by the Romney Marsh Partnership, which will establish existing and future post-16 skills provision in the area.</p> <p>Economic and social infrastructure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with socio-economic partnerships to identify social infrastructure projects and opportunities to divest de-licensed land for socio-economic benefit throughout 2016 	
--	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secure funding for at least one, transformational economic or social infrastructure project at each high priority site, subject to appropriate opportunities being submitted • Work with local education establishments to support the curriculum and raise aspirations. • Publicise staff volunteering opportunities and encourage staff to support appropriate social infrastructure initiatives. • Work in partnership with regional development agencies and local authorities to identify opportunities for inward investment and support these with appropriate SLC resource. <p>We are pleased to note the emphasis that MagnaX places on partnership working and are keen to continue working with MagnaX through the Romney Marsh Partnership. We particularly welcome the intention to secure funding for at least one transformational project at each priority site and will be working closely with MagnaX in order to achieve this over the plan period in order to significantly mitigate the effects of decommissioning of Dungeness A.</p> <p><i>Encourage diversification into other industries</i></p>	
--	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Identify and make suitable socio-economic resources from the site available to work alongside the lead stakeholders to secure investment into the Travel to Work Area.</i> • <i>Identify and attend meetings with all the regional economic development agencies and local authorities throughout 2016.</i> • <i>Work in partnership with regional development agencies and local authorities to identify opportunities for diversification at all high priority sites</i> 	
Tollesbury Parish Council	<p>The plan is focussed on the Dengie and does not take account north of the Blackwater socio-economics.</p> <p>An adverse risk impacting the tourism being planned for the area.</p>	<p>The Bradwell Legacy Partnership group, which comprises local council members, MagnaX and other key stakeholders, is actively looking at what can be done in the district to mitigate the impact of decommissioning. This takes into account the Dengie 100, which we understand includes Tollesbury. One of the areas it is actively looking at along with other partners is tourism for the Maldon District as a whole. The work being undertaken is reported through the Bradwell Local Community Liason Council. The Maldon District Councillor representative for Tollesbury sits on the Planning and Licensing Committee who oversee and agree outputs from the Bradwell Legacy Partnership.</p>
Sizewell SSG	<p>This should not just be targeted at priority sites. The biggest impacts could also come from</p>	<p>Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.</p>

	<p>assisting those who are lower priority due to the assumption of new build which has been significantly delayed.</p>	<p>It is important to note that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the Magnox Socio-Economic Scheme, which fits the criteria, then irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.</p>
<p>George Smythe, Dunegeness SSG Chairman</p>	<p>a. No comment and it will be interesting to actively monitor the plan as it develops.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Nia Swann Bowden High Value Jobs Scheme and Snowdonia Enterprise Zone Manager Gwynedd Council</p>	<p>Although we are pleased with your commitment to re-train Magnox Staff, we would like to emphasise the lack of alternative jobs within the travel to work distance for the communities of Meirionnydd. Therefore there must be separation between the principal of providing <u>alternative employment for workers across the Magnox fleet (and ultimately all of CFP Functions)</u>. To ensure <u>alternative employment opportunities</u> within the rural communities to allow skilled workers to remain and contribute to a rural community like Meirionnydd. Currently there are only 41 companies within 20km of the site that employ more than 30 people, therefore, even though it is important to enable workers to diversify, it must be recognised that there are restrictions on the types of sectors and employment opportunities they could take up locally.</p> <p>This brings wider socio-economic concerns. Meirionnydd has an ageing population with 27% of the population over 65 years of age (Wales=20%).</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>A figure that has increased from 22% since 2012. The use of the Welsh language has also declined with 3.3% less people able to speak Welsh according to the 2011 census to compare with 2001, with an increase of nearly 10% over the period without any Welsh skills at all. It appears that the skilled Welsh speaking young people are moving out of the area because of the lack of employment opportunities and non-Welsh speaking older people retiring to the area. As the Gwynedd Language Strategy 2014 – 2017 notes: there is a "key relationship between houses, language and work". It cannot be over-emphasised the importance of the number and quality of jobs that the nuclear industry has contributed to the Meirionnydd area over nearly 60 years and the blow that will come of losing them for the whole of the community. Our efforts to attract alternative employment opportunities to the area, therefore, has been developed not only from the perspective of ensuring a sustainable community but a recognition that only by offering employment for young people of the area, we can ensure a vibrant culture in rural areas and maintain as a stronghold of the Welsh language.</p> <p>The focus of our efforts is to create alternative employment within Meirionnydd, from the workers' point of view (looking primarily by now at bringing the SMR development to the site), and we</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>appreciate the support that has come from Magnox up to now to this end, and look forward to continuing to work together on this.</p> <p>To this end, your objective to work with us and our wider partners (again through the Snowdonia Enterprise Zone) to attract inward investment, by targeting Magnox resources towards the relevant work, and primarily in preparation to release the land to attract these investments is welcomed.</p> <p>Also welcome is your commitment to support companies within the supply chain, but ask you to work with us to understand the nature of the companies in the area to see how best to target this support. For example the potential for the supply chain to feed directly into the activities of Magnox directly, and if that is not possible then to look at how they can be pulled into the supply chain through the services of Tier 1 & 2 suppliers.</p> <p>We also ask that you look at the agreements agreed by Tier 1 & 2 suppliers to ensure that as much as possible is being invested back into the local community – this can come through social clauses in the agreement laid out by Magnox to its contractors, the opportunity to discuss this further with the Magnox local commercial representatives would be appreciated.</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>Your commitment to work with the education system to raise the aspirations of young people, especially the STEM area is welcome, and we look forward to working with you on this essential element. Anecdotal evidence suggests that there is a specific with regard to raising the aspiration of girls in the county, even those who gain higher level qualifications don't progress to further education because the want to stay within their community, they feel that there is no high level employment for them to aim for if they carry on with their education.</p> <p>We request that you look at this work strategically and take advantage of other projects in the region such as Wylfa Newydd, the potential SMR development at Trawsfynydd and the Development of the Snowdonia Aerospace Centre at Llanbedr, where they may well be opportunities to work jointly from a perspective of developing a supply pool with higher STEM skills.</p>	
--	---	--

Name Organisation	Q6 Are the strategic priorities and objectives identified the appropriate ones to meet the socio-economic needs of your region and community? Please give your reasons.	Magnox response
Paul Dundee, Magnox	Wylfa/Anglesey could be given higher priority and increased funding due to the considerable investment in local economy before generation	Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.

	ceased and transition/redundancies which occur within six months of each other.	
Penny Wride, Berkeley SSG	There is no transparency in how the sites were accorded priority status. If a site is taking waste from other sites it is perceived as a nuclear waste dump and should be afforded some community benefit.	<p>Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.</p> <p>A matrix which illustrates how the prioritisation has been derived is provided in Appendix 1 of the MagnaX Socio-economic plan 2016-19.</p> <p>Funding is available through the MagnaX socio-economic scheme to improve education and skills provision or support local employment, economic diversification or social infrastructure.</p>
Russell Everard Bradwell Legacy Partnership	The Partnership is pleased to note that Bradwell has been identified as a High Priority area/site in the draft Plan.	Noted.
Joan Girling Sizewell SSG co-opted	Improving transport links to training may need to be considered	Noted.
Richard Wilson, Purbeck District Council	Purbeck District Council do not agree with the approach of relying on the criteria in Appendix 1 for assessing the prioritisation of sites these are too focused on local economic indicators and takes insufficient account of opportunities for business growth or for addressing housing affordability issues or opportunities for the development of sustainable communities within adjoining local communities. A further consideration is national and local priorities for intervention such as those designated by government as Enterprise Zones.	<p>It is important to note that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the MagnaX Socio-economic Scheme, which fits the criteria, then irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.</p> <p>It's also important to emphasise that the focus of the MagnaX socio-economic plan 2016-19 and the socio-economic scheme is to mitigate the impact of decommissioning rather than larger socio-economic issues.</p>

	<p>Dorset Green formerly Winfrith AEA has recently been granted Enterprise Zone status through the Chancellors autumn statement. This covers 39 hectares of previously licenced site (now home to two internationally important advanced engineering companies Atlas Elektronik and QinetiQ as well as 11 hectares of land yet to be decommissioned. Development of this Enterprise Zone could facilitate the release of about 1000 new dwellings in a district which has been identified as being in the top 5 least affordable places to live in the country, in terms of the balance between house prices and wages. Investment is however needed to realise this opportunity which could in part be facilitated by the support of the Magna socio economic fund and planned LEP funding.</p> <p>Support has already been provided from this fund for a feasibility study into a proposed Innovation centre, with cafeteria conference and meeting room facilities. In Appendix 1 page 35 reference is made to historic investment for high and medium priority sites which scores Winfrith as N/A this doesn't take account of future investment plans rather historic investment by Magna/RSRL/NDA which disadvantages sites such as Winfrith which have suffered from under investment by private opportunities a score is provided by the NDA as a (stops here)</p>	
--	--	--

Alasdair Laurenson North Ayrshire Council	Yes, although the 'general' nature of these should be recognised. Reference should be made within the specific section for each site (4.5 on) to strategic investment priorities for each site where possible. As it stands for Hunterston this states only that Magnox will work with the steering group to mitigate the impact on the local economy.	<p>Magnox actively participates in steering groups that are set up, to work with partners to deliver strategic investment priorities at the site.</p> <p>Magnox supports the agencies responsible for economic development through partnership working, to develop proposals that will bring long-term Socio-economic benefits to mitigate the impact of decommissioning activities at the site. In North Ayrshire, the Hunterston Economic Steering Group has been set up, which is being led by North Ayrshire Council.</p>
Dylan J Williams Isle of Anglesey County Council	<p>We disagree with Wylfa's designation as a medium priority site; we firmly believe that Wylfa should be upgraded to a high priority site. Wylfa aligns closely with the majority of your prioritisation of interventions criteria, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lifecycle – Wylfa reactor shutdown (31/12/2015) & ongoing decommissioning at the site; • Lower than average GVA and index for multiple deprivation statistics (compared to National average); • Geographical distance to Urban Employment; • Recent job losses at the site; • The proposed New Nuclear Build of Wylfa Newydd near the current power station; • The need to sustain expertise in the area in the period between the closure of Wylfa and 	<p>Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.</p> <p>It is important to note that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the Magnox Socio-Economic Scheme, which fits the criteria, then irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.</p>

	<p>the build and ultimate energy generation of Wylfa Newydd;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevailing socio-economic conditions in the surrounding area. <p>The need for continued engagement on Anglesey through both Welsh and English is essential. As post-generation changes take place on site, the need for updates and frequency of communication will increase.</p>	
Dr Katharine Harvey, Shepway District Council	<p>We very much welcome the high priority status afforded to Dungeness and will continue to work with Magnox to help deliver our shared priorities. We also welcome the very positive additional priority identified for Dungeness of working with the Romney Marsh Partnership to support it's becoming a sustainable entity, recognised as a vehicle for stimulating business growth on Romney Marsh.</p>	Noted.
Brian Main, Bradwell LCLC	<p>I am pleased that Bradwell is not only accelerated but a lead site in decommissioning</p>	Noted.
George Smythe, Dunegeness SSG Chairman	<p>a. No difficulty with the objectives listed. Dungeness has a well tried support system currently, liaison with SDC,RMP and local town/village councils. To- date some of which have been very worthwhile and successful.</p>	Noted.
Nia Swann Bowden High Value Jobs Scheme and	<p>This question is answered from a Trawsfynydd Site Perspective only as the Site being within the Gwynedd County border.</p>	Noted.

<p>Snowdonia Enterprise Zone Manager Gwynedd Council</p>	<p>Two points of clarification:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the list of stakeholders, Cyngor Gwynedd/Gwynedd Council is the name of the authority (not Cyngor <u>Sir</u> Gwynedd / Gwynedd <u>County</u> Council), and the word Zone is missing – “ Bwrdd Ardal Fenter Eryri/ Snowdonia Enterprise Zone Board” 2. Within Snowdonia Enterprise Zone, it is noted that the name of Llanbedr Airbase and Business Park has changed to Snowdonia Aerospace Centre (Ganolfan Awyrofod Eryri). <p>We agree with what has been stated in this section in looking back at 2012.</p> <p>With regard to what has been achieved between 2012 and 2015, it is felt that it should be noted (probably in the Snowdonia Enterprise Zone) that the focus now should be to attract the SMR development to the site, along with its marketing to data centers.</p> <p>Perhaps this focus can be added to the last section – “Opportunities/Objectives”</p> <p>Generally, it must be noted that efforts to improve the socio-economic situation in Meirionnydd has</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>been with an element of local coordination, stemming from the announcement of the Meirionnydd Employment Plan, and through both formal and informal means of working regionally.</p> <p>In January 2016 a breakfast event was held to celebrate the financial contribution Magnox and the NDA have made to Meirionnydd and Anglesey in recognition of the success that has come through this way of working regionally.</p> <p>It is felt, although it projects a positive message of what has been achieved, and the variety of projects that have received support, this section underlines the need for the work being undertaken by the Task Force, referred to previously, that is - to agree a package of leading priorities to ensure that Magnox's resources are directed at strategic projects that add value to priority work streams.</p>	
--	---	--

Name Organisation	Q7 If you have any general comments you would like to add, please do so here.	Magnox response
Penny Wride, Berkeley SSG	There is no transparency in how the sites were accorded priority status. If a site is taking waste from other sites it is perceived as a nuclear waste dump and should be afforded some community benefit.	Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document. A matrix which illustrates how the prioritisation has been derived is provided in Appendix 1 of the Magnox Socio-economic plan 2016-19.

Russell Everard Bradwell Legacy Partnership	We have seen no mention of an anticipated date as to when the Socio-economic fund (£s) will come to an end. The Partnership is keen to have an indication of the end date for the scheme, which will help with the development of new projects and interventions.	<p>Prior to sites closing, Magnox and the NDA will engage with stakeholders to understand and agree what is appropriate with regards to ongoing communications and socio-economic support.</p> <p>The Bradwell site isn't expected to reach C&M until 2019 and engagement will take place prior to this.</p>
Joan Girling Sizewell SSG co-opted	Further training must be considered not necessarily in the Nuclear Industry. Innovative "start-up" businesses may also need funding	Noted.
Tracey Jones, BANNG	With great power comes great responsibility. Decommissioning was promised. Fed is an insult to our community our environment and our children. How do you sleep at night.	Noted.
Alasdair Laurenson North Ayrshire Council	<p>It is considered that Hunterston A should be identified as a High Priority Site for Socio Economic Investment. As it stands Hunterston A narrowly misses out on this through the use of the prioritisation matrix at Appendix 1, scoring 12 points (13 points are required to qualify as a high priority site). The justification for this is as follows:</p> <p>i) Existing GVA per head in the local area is substantially below the national average and any other site (22% below the next lowest site, Chapelcross). Despite this it scores the maximum of 3, the same as several other sites.</p> <p>There should be a greater weighting applied to this as opposed to more arbitrary criteria such as 'distance to employment' as it is the best measure of the current economic performance of an area.</p>	<p>Please refer to the explanation regarding the prioritisation of sites, provided on page 3 of this document.</p> <p>It is important to note that if a robust transformational project is submitted to the Magnox Socio-Economic Scheme, which fits the criteria, then irrespective of the site prioritisation it would be given due consideration based on its merits alongside other applications.</p>

	<p>ii) Figures for multiple deprivation do not reflect the scale of deprivation in communities such as Ardrossan, Saltcoats and Stevenston which are currently among the most deprived in Scotland and which assessments have found will be hit hardest by the future loss of employment from Hunterston A.</p> <p>These figures appear to contradict the GVA per head figures for each area (i.e Hunterston A has the lowest GVA and lowest score for deprivation; Dungeness among the highest GVA, and the highest score for deprivation). The source and use of these figures is therefore questioned.</p> <p>iii) In terms of distance to employment, Hunterston A is stated to be 30 miles from Glasgow, and given 1 point on this basis. The table states: '30-60 miles - 2 points'. It is not clear why Hunterston has been given 1 rather than 2 points here given that it is within 30-60 miles (albeit borderline). Hunterston is actually 37 miles from Glasgow and critically via a poor B class road for around a third of the journey. In addition it is not well served by rail. Hunterston A should therefore be considered less accessible than it is. In terms of historic funding awards, it is not made clear why significantly higher levels of funding for some mid priority sites have been awarded, especially when some of these will not</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>enter a care and maintenance phase until well after Hunterston A.</p> <p>Clarity would be welcomed on how funding may indicatively be divided between high/medium/low priority sites to provide transparency and inform the nature and level of engagement required. The level of engagement with local agencies should be confirmed and should be equitable. For example North Ayrshire Council business development officers are not aware of activity by Penna who have been appointed to encourage the identification of new or spin off businesses, and to provide support with transition for employees.</p> <p>In terms of the specific section on Hunterston A, the opportunities/objectives should state 'recognise existing levels of deprivation in the area and the lack of easily accessible employment opportunities'.</p>	
--	---	--

<p>Dylan J Williams Isle of Anglesey County Council</p>	<p>It was a significant milestone for Anglesey, Magnox, and the UK nuclear industry when electricity generation came to a successful and safe end at Wylfa Nuclear Power Station in December 2015. The contribution made by Wylfa to the UK's energy needs for over 44 years cannot be underestimated, together with the stability and quality of life which the on-site activity and job opportunities created for the Island.</p> <p>As the potential Wylfa Newydd progresses towards a final investment decision, it is vital that collaboration is continued with new nuclear developers and local stakeholders to make informed strategic decisions.</p> <p>The changing status of Wylfa from generating to de-fuelling and subsequent decommissioning is cause for local concern, there is a need for this to be managed, with a need to minimise local adverse employment and socio-economic impacts. The IACC is encouraged that the document outlines your ongoing commitment and priority to socio-economic issues we are committed to continued collaboration with yourselves and other relevant stakeholders. Whilst recognising the significant and ongoing challenges of decommissioning, the IACC, through its Energy Island Programme, remains committed to working with the public and private sector to demonstrate</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
---	---	---------------

	<p>decisive leadership and a pro-active approach to de-risking future energy investment on Anglesey.</p> <p>It is essential that all stakeholders contribute towards the development of a strategic and seamless timeline between the completion of decommissioning of Wylfa and Trawsfynydd Power Stations, and the construction of the new build at Wylfa, to facilitate the transfer of key skilled staff and to maintain local employment opportunities.</p> <p>We hope the above points can be considered and utilised to positively enhance and influence the 2016-2018 Socio-Economic Plan.</p>	
Harwell Parish Council	<p>Harwell Parish Council has a representative on a Site Stakeholder Group. As the Group has a wider knowledge of the site, the Council does not wish to respond to this consultation on its own behalf, but relies on the response submitted by the Group.</p>	Noted
Tollesbury Parish Council	<p>Feel Tollbesury has been unfairly treated for 40 years. It would be nice for Bradwell to put some investment for the community for significant infrastructure projects, similar to projects invested in on Mersea.</p> <p>Potential risk to our long standing, historic amenity salt pool.</p>	<p>We would welcome an application from Tollesbury or other local groups to the to the Magnox Socio-economic Scheme. Please visit www.magnoxsocioeconomic.com</p>
Brian Main, Bradwell LCLC	<p>Is there any scope for funding to mitigate local unease about receiving radioactive waste from other sites?</p>	<p>It should be noted that there are mechanisms, outside planning legislation and policy, already in place to ensure that the community hosting an NDA facility can receive</p>

	<p>Is there a planned end date for socio-economic funding around Bradwell?</p>	<p>social and economic benefit, such as the MagnaX socio-economic scheme. These measures fall within NDA's statutory duties under the Energy Act 2004.</p> <p>Prior to sites closing, MagnaX and the NDA will engage with stakeholders to understand and agree what is appropriate with regards to ongoing communications and socio-economic support.</p> <p>The Bradwell site isn't expected to reach C&M until 2019 and engagement will take place prior to this.</p>
<p>George Smythe, Dunegeness SSG Chairman</p>	<p>a. The matter of the availability of Socio-Economic funding and support once the Site enters Interim Care and Maintenance (I C&M) has not been addressed. Will current projects continue as roll over projects and will new projects still be considered. If they are to be considered, how will that be administered with possibly no local SSG of Socio- Economic present at the site.</p> <p>b. There is no mention of EDF involvement or possible liaison in any Socio – Economic projects, would joint funding be considered on larger projects.</p> <p>c. The tables listed Socio-Economic Plan (Pages 9 -13) as Appendix are too small and difficult to read and follow. The contents are very informative, could they not be turned length ways and therefore enlarged to fill the whole page.</p>	<p>As identified in the MagnaX Socio-economic plan 2016-19, prior to sites closing, MagnaX and the NDA will engage with stakeholders to understand and agree what is appropriate with regards to ongoing communications and socio-economic support.</p> <p>The MagnaX and EDF sites have different timescales for closure and are at different points in the lifecycle transition, which is reflected in the separate schemes. MagnaX encourages applicants to seek match funding from other organisations and this is taken into account when applications are reviewed.</p>

	<p>d. The whole document must be user friendly and easy to follow.</p> <p>e. Would it be possible to include as an Annex/Appendix a copy of the NDA/MagnaX Socio-Economic Application Performa.</p>	
<p>George Smythe, Dungeness SSG Chairman</p>	<p>The NDA principles outlined are in general supported by this Draft Socio – Economic Plan. The following points may be worthy of note;</p> <p>a. The health warning (in red) seems to be a 'Get Out Jail Card' Although understandable from a Magnox point of view it provides a substantial amount of leeway in favour of allowing change without challenge. Deviations must be scrutinized and discussed in an open forum with all Stakeholder with a full explanations of the reasons whether financial or time related.</p> <p>b. Most of the Socio - Economic Commitments factors listed are a confirmation and consolidation of current procedures in place and outlined in the NDA Socio- Economic guide lines. The question of a three year rolling programme is unclear. Will this mean a three year cut off point or a three year review of long term projects in hand with a view of continuing those will have proved to be worthwhile.</p>	<p>This statement was included to highlight the fact that, the outputs from consolidation could influence the site programme and dates, which would change the prioritisation of sites, which takes timescales to C&M into account.</p> <p>The intention is to review performance and update the plan on an annual basis. The inclusion of three year rolling targets is to recognise that many socio-economic initiatives will take longer than a year to develop and implement.</p>

	<p>c. Some of the objectives will be extremely difficult to fulfill, in some areas/sites the Stations are stand alone with no other engineering industry to support the skills current in use unless individuals relocate. Therefore some steps must be considered to encourage local organizations to think outside their comfort zone and explore their opportunities or take a fresh approach to future local employment.</p> <p>d. Annual review, not sure whose performance is to be graded, Stakeholders or Magnox. Might be better to have a 'State of the Nation" type summary.</p> <p>Priority system wholly supported in its current form and fully supported by Dungeness SSG. Will this change on entering Interim C&M?</p>	<p>We acknowledge the challenge that some of these objectives pose but we believe they are important to ensure that the impacts of closure are mitigated.</p> <p>As part of the development of the three-year rolling socio-economic plan, appropriate performance measures and reporting arrangements will be agreed with the NDA to track progress against the objectives and targets outlined in this socio-economic plan. The socio-economic plan will be reviewed annually as a minimum.</p> <p>The prioritisation of sites will be regularly reviewed and updated in order to take into account changing factors such as where each site is with respect to its Lifetime Plan. Prior to sites closing, Magnox and the NDA will engage with stakeholders to understand and agree what is appropriate with regards to ongoing communications and socio-economic support.</p>
--	---	--